

Feasibility study for a recirculating linac-based facility for femtosecond dynamics

December 2002

W. Barry, W. A. Barletta, J. Byrd, J. N. Corlett, S. DeSantis, L. Doolittle, W. M. Fawley, M. A. Green, N. Hartman, P. Heimann, D. Kairan¹, E. Kujawski, S. Leone, D. Li, S. Lidia, P. Luft, R. McClure, H. Padmore, F. Parmigiani², Y. Petroff, W. Pirkel³, M. Placidi⁴, D. Reavill, I. Reichel, R. Rimmer, A. Ratti, D. Robin, K. Robinson, F. Sannibale, R. Schoenlein, J. Staples, J. Tanabe, D. Truchlikova, W. Wan, S. Wang, R. Wells, A. Wolski, A. Zholents, M. Zisman.

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, CA, USA.

¹Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.

²Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Milano, Italy.

³Geneva, Switzerland.

⁴CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.

Abstract

LBNL is pursuing design studies and the scientific program for a facility dedicated to the production of x-ray pulses with ultra-short time duration, for application in dynamical studies of processes in physics, biology, and chemistry. The proposed x-ray facility has the short x-ray pulse length (~ 60 fs FWHM) necessary to study very fast dynamics, high flux (up to approximately 10^{11} photons/sec/0.1%BW) to study weakly scattering systems, and tuneability over 1-12 keV photon energy. The hard x-ray photon production section of the machine accommodates seven 2-m long undulators. Design studies for longer wavelength sources, using high-gain harmonic generation, are in progress. The x-ray pulse repetition rate of 10 kHz is matched to studies of dynamical processes (initiated by ultra-short laser pulses) that typically have a long recovery time or are not generally cyclic or reversible and need time to allow relaxation, replacement, or flow of the sample. The technique for producing ultra-short x-ray pulses uses relatively long electron bunches to minimise high-peak-current collective effects, and the ultimate x-ray duration is achieved by a combination of bunch manipulation and optical compression. Synchronization of x-ray pulses to sample excitation signals is expected to be of order 50 - 100 fs. Techniques for making use of the recirculating geometry to provide beam-based signals from early passes through the machine are being studied.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California.

Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

Table of Contents

1. [Overview](#)
2. [Baseline configuration choices](#)
3. [Accelerator physics](#)
4. [Collective effects](#)
5. [RF photo cathode gun](#)
6. [Beam dynamics in the rf gun](#)
7. [Experimental studies at FNPL](#)
8. [Flat beam adapter](#)
9. [Superconducting rf linacs](#)
10. [Deflecting cavities](#)
11. [Linac rf systems](#)
12. [Photocathode gun rf systems](#)
13. [Cryogenics](#)
14. [Magnets](#)
15. [Vacuum systems](#)
16. [Beam diagnostics](#)
17. [Lasers](#)
18. [Beamlines](#)
19. [Synchronization](#)
20. [Beam dump](#)
21. [Conventional facilities](#)
22. [Site selection](#)
23. [Technical risk analysis](#)

List of Figures

Figure 1-1	Average flux as a function of photon energy	1-1
Figure 1-2	Machine layout for the baseline configuration	1-2
Figure 1-3	Time/position/angle correlation of the electron bunches	1-3
Figure 1-4	Compression of the x-ray pulse	1-4
Figure 1-5	X-ray pulse length as a function of photon energy	1-5
Figure 1-6	UV and soft x-ray production technique using high-gain harmonic generation	1-9
Figure 2-1	Derivation of systems requirements	2-2
Figure 2-2	Trade-space for baselining the recirculating linac hard x-ray configuration	2-4
Figure 2-3	Recirculating linac cost trend versus the number of passes	2-6
Figure 3-1	Machine layout, showing injector linac, main linac, four rings, and photon production section	3-2
Figure 3-2	The lattice functions in Ring 1 (first pass through the main linac).	3-3
Figure 3-3	Lattice functions in the main linac for the fourth pass.	3-3
Figure 3-4	The beam spreader section	3-4
Figure 3-5	Crosssections of a beam spreader and compact quadrupole	3-5
Figure 3-6	Lattice functions for Ring 4	3-6
Figure 3-7	Histograms of pathlengths in Arc 3	3-7
Figure 3-8	Vertical beam profile at the end of Arc3 for a range of error seeds, no orbit correction	3-9
Figure 3-9	Vertical beam profile at the end of arc3 for the same range of error seeds, with orbit correction applied	3-9
Figure 3-10	Schematic of the injector system	3-10
Figure 3-11	The evolution of the longitudinal phase space	3-11
Figure 3-12	Lattice optical functions in the bunch compressor, for $R_{56}=1.61$	3-12
Figure 3-13	Longitudinal phase space for a compressed electron bunch	3-12
Figure 3-14	The evolution of the longitudinal phase space for a time delay of 3 ps in the input beam	3-13
Figure 3-15	The evolution of the longitudinal phase space	3-13
Figure 4-1	Cumulative transverse displacement	4-3
Figure 4- 2	Displacement at the end of four passes	4-4
Figure 4-3	Electron displacement as a function of the position within the bunch	4-4
Figure 4-4	RMS value of the transverse displacement at the bunch center	4-6
Figure 4-5	Electron displacement for zero betatron phase advance in all arcs	4-7
Figure 4-6	Transverse long-range wake averaged over 32 cavities	4-8
Figure 4-7	Short and long range transverse wakefields for a 2 ps long bunch	4-9
Figure 4-8	Energy loss of electrons, due to the longitudinal wake	4-11

Figure 4-9	Transverse deflections of a 2 ps zero-emittance beam	4-14
Figure 4-10	Results of tracking a nominal bunch, the arc sextupoles were turned on	4-16
Figure 4-11	Results of tracking a nominal bunch, the arc sextupoles were turned off	4-16
Figure 4-12	Results of tracking two bunches four passes through the linac and arcs	4-17
Figure 4-13	Charge distribution and resistive wall wake	4-19
Figure 4-14	Transverse deflection for a 1 m long, 3 cm diameter vacuum chamber	4-20
Figure 4-15	Deflection along bunches of 1-10 ps length	4-21
Figure 4-16	Longitudinal density profile of electrons in a bunch	4-27
Figure 4-17	CSR wake function $dE(s)/dz$ for an electron longitudinal density distribution shown in Figure 15	4-27
Figure 5-1	Flat beam injector beamline	5-2
Figure 5-2	Measured peak brightness of recent photoinjectors	5-5
Figure 5-3	2-D model of RF gun cavities and solenoid magnets	5-7
Figure 5-4	Cell-1 2-D geometry and accelerating mode electric field	5-8
Figure 5-5	3-D model of RF cell, coupler, and input waveguide	5-10
Figure 5-6	Cavity gradient with 5 microsecond RF pulse, 3.4 μ s fill time	5-12
Figure 5-7	ANSYS cavity geometry	5-13
Figure 5-8	ANSYS model of steady-state thermal loading in the RF gun	5-13
Figure 5-9	MAFIA 3-D model of 4-cell RF gun cavities and solenoid coils	5-14
Figure 6-1	Variation of normalized transverse emittance with bunch charge	6-2
Figure 6-2	Variation of normalized transverse emittance with bunch length	6-3
Figure 6-3	Variation of normalized transverse emittance with launch phase	6-4
Figure 6-4	HOMDYN model of uncompensated and compensated beam dynamics	6-5
Figure 6-5	Small angular momentum regime (HOMDYN)	6-9
Figure 6-6	The initial thermal emittance and the projected emittance	6-10
Figure 7-1	FNPL beamline	7-1
Figure 7-2	Cyclotron phase advance vs. secondary solenoid current	7-3
Figure 7-3	Beam envelope and radial emittance	7-4
Figure 7-4	Vertical emittance vs. cyclotron phase advance	7-5
Figure 7-5	Measured vertical emittances of angular momentum-	7-6
Figure 8-1	Drift-cyclotron coordinates	8-1
Figure 8-2	Beam spot at slit analyzer and downstream beamlet	8-6
Figure 8-3	Beam spot image downstream from the adapter by horizontal slits	8-7
Figure 8-4	Beam spot image downstream from the adapter by vertical slits	8-8
Figure 8-5	Longitudinal distribution of on-axis solenoid field in RF gun	8-9
Figure 8-6	RMS beam envelope and projected RMS radial emittance	8-10
Figure 8-7	Geometry of skew quadrupole adapter beamline	8-10
Figure 8-8	Slice population and transverse	8-11
Figure 8-9	Longitudinal phase space at adapter entrance	8-12
Figure 8-10	The y - z beam distribution at the adapter exit	8-13
Figure 8-11	Vertical-longitudinal beam distribution under energy mismatch conditions	8-14
Figure 8-12	Vertical emittance versus adapter mismatch energy	8-14

Figure 9-1	TESLA 9-cell superconducting 1.3 GHz cavity	9-3
Figure 9-2	TESLA cryomodule end view cross-section	9-4
Figure 9-3	Longterm variation of the resonant frequency of the eight cavities	9-5
Figure 9-4	Resonant frequency variation of cavity #1 and corresponding histogram	9-6
Figure 9-5	Microphonics in the TTF module	9-7
Figure 9-6	Generator power requirement	9-9
Figure 9-7	Generator power for four beam currents	9-10
Figure 10-1	X-ray pulse duration	10-2
Figure 10-2	Electric and magnetic field distribution of a deflecting dipole	10-2
Figure 10-3	Longitudinal electric field distribution of the deflecting mode in the 7-cell cavity at 3.9 Ghz	10-4
Figure 10-4	Electric field distribution of the monopole LOM at 2.8581 Ghz	10-8
Figure 10-5	Electric field distribution of the monopole LOM at 2.8685 Ghz	10-9
Figure 11-1	Linac RF system schematic layout	11-3
Figure 13-1	A cross-section of the proposed cryogenic module for the linac.	13-3
Figure 13-2	A Cross-section view of the increased diameter TESLA cavity helium tank	13-3
Figure 13-3	Refrigeration system cost versus the diameter of the 1.9 K helium return pipe	13-4
Figure 13-4	Simplified flow schematic diagram for the cooling of and the cool down of the rf Cavities	13-6
Figure 13-5	A flow schematic for the undulator magnet two-phase helium cooling system and shield cooling	13-6
Figure 13-6	A flow schematic for a refrigerator cold box and its warm and cold compressors	13-8
Figure 13-7	A flow schematic for the cold compressor end of a 1.9 K helium cold box	13-9
Figure 14-1	Magnets in a beam spreader region	14-2
Figure 14-2	Septum Dipole Magnet	14-3
Figure 14-3	One quadrant of a septum quadrupole magnet in cross section	14-4
Figure 14-4	Plot of magnetic field lines for septum quadrupole	14-4
Figure 14-5	Magnet layout at the entrance to the ring arc sections	14-5
Figure 14-6	Starting geometry for bend magnet pole optimization	14-6
Figure 14-7	Dimensions of an optimized arc bend magnet pole	14-6
Figure 14-8	Magnetic field plot for an arc dipole magnet after pole shape optimization	14-7
Figure 14-9	Yoke and coil configuration of the quadrupoles for the ALS booster	14-7
Figure 14-10	Cross-section of a lamination of the ALS sextupole design	14-8
Figure 14-11	Magnetic field plot of ALS 1/12 sextupole magnet	14-8
Figure 15-1	Comparison of fractional energy loss due to CSR	15-3
Figure 15-2	Beam loss fraction, due to Coulomb scattering	15-5
Figure 15-3	Conceptual layout of a representative section of the vacuum system	15-10
Figure 15-4	Schematic of a typical vacuum system section	15-10
Figure 15-5	Pressure profile along a representative portion of the Arc 4 beam tube	15-12

Figure 16-1	BPM electronics block diagram	16-8
Figure 17-1	Schematic layout of the laser systems	17-2
Figure 17-2	Master Oscillator	17-3
Figure 17-3	Phase locking of Master Oscillator	17-4
Figure 18-1	Flux of first to eleventh harmonic for the 1.4	18-2
Figure 18-2	The undulator 5 th harmonic with and without angular	18-2
Figure 18-3	Asymmetrically cut crystal with variable optical path length	18-3
Figure 18-4	Schematic diagram of an undulator beamline with a Si(111)	18-4
Figure 18-5	Focus dimensions at the endstation of the undulator beamline.	18-6
Figure 19-1	Master Oscillator for the recirculating linac source	19-2
Figure 19-2	Schematic layout of the timing systems	19-4
Figure 19-3	Hard x-ray production scheme, showing electron bunch in the deflecting rf field	19-6
Figure 19-4	RF systems control schematic for the deflecting cavities	19-8
Figure 19-5	Concept for beam-based optical timing pulse production	19-9
Figure 19-6	Concept for beam-based optical timing pulse production	19-10
Figure 20-1	Beam absorbing assembly	20-2
Figure 20-2	Power deposition profiles of an electromagnetic cascade	20-3
Figure 20-3	Temperature profile for carbon/copper beam dump	20-4
Figure 20-4	Stress contours for a carbon/copper beam dump, first 75cm	20-5
Figure 22-1	Sites identified and considered in the selection process	22-2
Figure 22-2	Top ranked location for a femtosecond dynamics facility at Berkeley Lab	22-4

List of Tables

Table 1-1	Average flux for three in-vacuo undulator designs, 1 nC bunches at 10 kHz rate	1-7
Table 1-2	Major baseline design parameters	1-8
Table 2-1	Key goals for an ultrafast x-ray science user facility driven by scientific needs in physics, chemistry and biology	2-1
Table 3-1	Ring circumferences	3-1
Table 3-2	Beam parameters and errors, all quantities are rms values	3-8
Table 4-1	Bunch parameters used in wake field simulations	4-14
Table 4-2	Baseline parameters for resistive wall calculations	4-18
Table 4-3	Coherent synchrotron radiation energy loss in the dipole magnets for free space, 9 mm vacuum chamber, and 7 mm vacuum chamber as a function of beam energy	4-24
Table 5-1	Flat Beam Injector Parameters	5-1
Table 5-2	Summary of commonly used photocathode materials	5-3
Table 5-3	Cathode cell characteristics	5-9
Table 5-4	Characteristics of RF gun accelerating	5-9
Table 7-1	FNPL Photoinjector parameters cells	7-2
Table 8-1	RF Gun parameters for PARMELA simulation	8-9
Table 8-2	Calculated emittances from PARMELA in the adapter section	8-11
Table 9-1	Comparisons between superconducting (SC) and normal conducting (NC) RF systems for a 600 MeV linac	9-2
Table 9-2	Microphonic levels of the cavities in the TTF cryomodule; total rms error, and rms error after elimination of low frequency components	9-6
Table 9-3	Cavity parameters for nominal detuning 25 Hz	9-9
Table 9-4	Baseline parameters for the recirculating linac, and the TESLA TTF linac specifications	9-11
Table 10-1	Parameters for one 7-cell SC cavity	10-5
Table 10-2	Dipole modes (HOM's) of the 7-cell cavity	10-7
Table 10-3	Monopole modes (LOM and HOM) of the 7-cell cavity	10-8
Table 11-1	Available 1.3 GHz CW klystrons	11-1
Table 11-2	Main linac parameters	11-5
Table 12-1	RF power requirements of the electron injector	12-1
Table 12-2	TOSHIBA klystron E3718	12-2
Table 12-3	Modulator requirements	12-2
Table 12-4	Thyratron parameters	12-4
Table 12-5	Parameters of available "Thyratron replacement" solid-state switches	12-6
Table 12-6	Parameters of induction modulators	12-8
Table 13-1	Calculated heat loads for various components	13-10
Table 13-2	Design heat loads at various temperatures, the equivalent design Refrigeration at 4.5K, and the input power needed to operate the Cryogenic system	13-11
Table 15-1	Line power density on vacuum chamber due to Coulomb scattering (W/m)	15-6
Table 15-2	Photoelectron yield per meter for a 6 cm wide aluminum beam tube	15-8

Table 15-3	Photoelectron desorption efficiency and gas desorption rates per meter of beam tube by glass species	15-9
Table 15-4	Outgassing rates measured for an aluminum vacuum chamber after 100 hrs of pumping from [4] as shown in [2]	15-9
Table 15-5	Partial pressures at key points (see Fig. 15-4) in the vacuum system	15-12
Table 16-1	BPM resolution for various bunch charges	16-8
Table 17-1	General technical specifications for the Master Oscillator	17-4
Table 17-2	Glaser system	17-4
Table 17-3	General technical specification for a typical beamline endstation laser system	17-5
Table 18-1	Parameters of two asymmetrically cut crystals for x-ray pulse Compression	18-3
Table 18-2	A list and description of the optical elements of the undulator Beamline	18-5
Table 19-1	General technical specifications for the Master Oscillator	19-2
Table 23-1	Summary of the technical risk assessment	23-2